

Title:

An Improved Tamper-Indicating Seal

Author(s):

Roger G. Johnston

Submitted to:

<http://lib-www.lanl.gov/la-pubs/00418765.pdf>



Los Alamos
NATIONAL LABORATORY

Los Alamos National Laboratory, an affirmative action/equal opportunity employer, is operated by the University of California for the U.S. Department of Energy under contract W-7405-ENG-36. By acceptance of this article, the publisher recognizes that the U.S. Government retains a nonexclusive, royalty-free license to publish or reproduce the published form of this contribution, or to allow others to do so, for U.S. Government purposes. The Los Alamos National Laboratory requests that the publisher identify this article as work performed under the auspices of the U.S. Department of Energy. Los Alamos National Laboratory strongly supports academic freedom and a researcher's right to publish; therefore, the Laboratory as an institution does not endorse the viewpoint of a publication or guarantee its technical correctness.

1. Title: An Improved Tamper-Indicating Seal

2. Author: Roger G. Johnston, Ph.D., CPP

3. The Problem:

A small U.S. company was developing a new type of inexpensive tamper-indicating security seal. This small company lacked the resources or experience to fully evaluate the seal and optimize its design for maximum security. The company realized that a vulnerability assessment performed early in the design process--while changes could still be readily made--would be of greater value than an assessment performed after the design was finalized.

4. The Response

The company sought the assistance of the Vulnerability Assessment Team (VAT) at Los Alamos National Laboratory. The VAT has extensive experience in performing vulnerability assessments for government agencies and private companies. It offers practical suggestions for improving tags & seals, as well as overall security programs.

The company was able to obtain this assistance for free in late 1997 as part of the Small Business Technical Assistance Program at Los Alamos National Laboratory. The VAT evaluated the security seal design and offered a number of suggestions for improvements. These included minor design changes, alternate choices for materials, possible novel applications, and recommended use protocols.

5. The Outcome

The company was surprised to learn about some of the easily exploited vulnerabilities demonstrated by the VAT that had been overlooked in the initial design. A number of VAT suggestions for reducing these vulnerabilities were implemented by the company. The redesigned seal was then re-evaluated by the VAT, which resulted in additional suggestions for improvements. Some of these suggestions were incorporated into a 3rd generation design. This iterative approach was deemed to be highly effective.

As a result of this assistance, both the company and the VAT believe the seal has significantly greater resistance to defeat. This

was accomplished with relatively minor modifications to the seal and at nearly no cost to the company.

6. Follow Up Action

The assistance provided by the VAT in 1997 was recognized with an Excellence in Technology Transfer Award. The company is seeking additional assistance from the VAT in 1998 for more advanced versions of the seal.